

*In The*  
**2018 Congressional Session**  
*Of The*  
**The State of Florida**

UNDER JOINT RULES:  
**2.1, 3.2, 4.7, 5 et al, 6 et al,**

IN THE MATTERS OF:

**NEELY PETRIE-BLANCHARD VS FLORIDA, SOUTH CAROLINA,**  
**GEORGIA, & KENTUCKY**

---

**WEAPONIZED FAMILY COURTS**

*[28 U.S. Code § 1927, 29 U.S. Code § 1109]*

**AMICUS CASE REPORT**

**EXECUTIVE ORDER 13818 ON HUMAN TRAFFICKING**

*(Public Law 114-328) section 212(f)*

*8 U.S.C. 1182(f), (INA), 3 U.S.C. section 301*

*Emoluments Violations – 18 U.S.C. § 643*

**[Qui Tam 31 U.S. Code, § 3730(b),(c)]**

PREPARED BY:

**CHRISTOPHER EDWARD HALLETT**

**LOSS PREVENTION PRACTICE**

*Malitiis hominum est obviandum*

**(Lic. No. 443079 / Bar No. 03202154)**

**(Florida Notary Commission No. GG154748)**

---

*Reus excipiendo fit actor*  
*Praetextu liciti non debet admitti illicitum*  
*Jus et fraus nunquam cohabitant*



16062 SW 34 CT RD, Ocala, Florida, 34473,  
Phone (352) 470-8460, Email [eclausellc@gmail.com](mailto:eclausellc@gmail.com)

*In The*  
*One Hundred-Fifteenth Congress*  
*Of The*  
*United States of America*

UNDER:  
28 U.S. Code §§ 2201, 2202, 2415

IN THE MATTERS OF:

NEELY PETRIE-BLANCHARD VS FLORIDA, SOUTH CAROLINA,  
GEORGIA, & KENTUCKY

---

**WEAPONIZED FAMILY COURTS**

[28 U.S. Code § 1927, 29 U.S. Code § 1109]

**AMICUS CASE REPORT**

**EXECUTIVE ORDER 13818 ON HUMAN TRAFFICKING**

(Public Law 114-328) section 212(f)

8 U.S.C. 1182(f), (INA), 3 U.S.C. section 301

Emoluments Violations – 18 U.S.C. § 643

[Qui Tam 31 U.S. Code, § 3730(b),(c)]

PREPARED BY:

CHRISTOPHER EDWARD HALLETT

LOSS PREVENTION PRACTICE

*Malitiis hominum est obviandum*

(Lic. No. 443079 / Bar No. 03202154)

(Florida Notary Commission No. GG154748)

---

*Reus excipiendo fit actor*

*Praetextu liciti non debet admitti illicitum*

*Jus et fraus nunquam cohabitant*

*E~ Clause.*



16062 SW 34 CT RD, Ocala, Florida, 34473,  
Phone (352) 470-8460, Email [eclausellc@gmail.com](mailto:eclausellc@gmail.com)

## **PREFACE**

The following report has been prepared for the purpose of keeping my clients advised on the Integrity issues, and how these issues negatively affect this Governing Body's ability to perform the tasks for which it is intended by our Republic's Founding Father's and Documents. Upon conclusion of the Constitutional Convention, Benjamin Franklin was asked: "What have you just wrought?"... To which he replied: "A Republic, if you can keep it."

Loss Prevention, as an Independent Practice, has been implemented by private companies for years to protect their multiple integrity issues as they do business. We used many of the same principles and practices currently recognized by this body and the courts. Because of the sensitive nature of issues which have come across my desk relating to; Contracts, Inventory, Personnel, Real Property, and even emoluments issues with respect to positions of authority within the company; we clearly understand, and recognize, these issues from a much clearer standpoint than the "Vacuum of Government".

For the sake of simplicity, this report is being prepared under the same principles and practices related to the "Agency Practice of Real Estate" when acting as a "Duel Agent". Once Duel Agency has been established, my "Fiduciary Duty" becomes to the "Contract". In this case that contract is "The Constitution of The United States" itself. The terms and conditions related thereto being enforced as written and agreed by the parties participating in it.

*Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.*

## NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Christopher E. Hallett, doing business as *E~Clause*® LLC, hereby is filing this Notice of Appearance to affect my status change to, “Fiduciary Expert and Friend of the Court” per the following circumstances and establishment of Practice to re-task my Fiduciary License to serve the court as Loss Prevention co-counsel for The Government of The United States of America.

On March 13, 2017 **POTUS** issued a lawful EO *13781* in which President Trump asked for help from the citizenry to assist in the restructuring of the Executive Branch. Citing the *Qui Tam Provision 31 U.S. Code, § 3730(b),(c)*, I am following said Executive Order in a continued effort to expose these continued assaults upon the court by frauds upon the court through Malfeasance of Office, and Prosecutorial Misconduct/s resulting in multiple Emolument/s Violations.

In order for this Fiduciary to effectively make his Report to the President per Executive Order 13781; we must first define in context, and apply, the following three (3) terms as viewed by the court under the Equal Protections Premise beyond their dictionary definitions as follows:

---

**Emolument/s** [*Ad recte docendum oportet primum inquirere nomina quia rerum cognitio a nominibus rerum dependet*] meaning: In order rightly to comprehend a thing, it is necessary first to inquire into the names, for a right knowledge of things depends on their names.

### ***Definition***

Any advantage, profit, or gain received as a result of one’s employment or holding of office.

**Libel** [*Lex punit mendaciam*] The Law punishes falsehood

*Definition*

A published false statement that is damaging to a person's reputation; a written defamation.

**Fiduciary Duty** [*Justitia nemini neganda est*] Justice is to be denied to no one

*Definition*

A fiduciary duty is a legal duty to act solely in another party's interests. Parties owing this duty are called **fiduciaries**. The individuals to whom they owe a duty are called **principals**. Fiduciaries may not profit from their relationship with their principals unless they have the principals' express informed consent. They also have a duty to avoid any conflicts of interest between themselves and their principals or between their principals and the fiduciaries' other clients. **A fiduciary duty is the strictest duty of care recognized by the US legal system.**

---

**CORPORATE MISSION STATEMENT**

*We The People of The United States*, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure Domestic Tranquility, provide for the Common Defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America. To prove this, let these facts be submitted to a candid world.

---

The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for Good Men to do nothing.

*Edmund Burke*



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Content</u>	<u>Page</u>
Preface .....	I
Notice of Appearance .....	II
Table of Contents .....	IV
Appendix of Cases .....	V
Table of Authorities .....	IX
<i>The Declaration of Independence</i> .....	XI
Cases Studied (cited in various works) .....	XVI
The Facts in Mom’s Own Words .....	1
Client Affidavit of Affirmation .....	3
The Facts as Reflected in the Record/s .....	4
Georgia Record/s .....	4
South Carolina Record/s .....	4
Florida Record/s .....	5
Kentucky Record/s .....	5
Loss of Constitutional Integrity .....	8
Personal Bias as an Integrity Issue .....	10
The Negative Nexus ...(Based in Law & its Core Concepts).....	10
Blacks Law Text referenced .....	11
Conclusions .....	12
Practitioners Affidavit .....	14
Congressional Dicta (lined pages provided for convenience) .....	15



## APPENDIX

<u>Content</u>	<u>Tab</u>
FL Court Docket 2012-091238-01 .....	1
FL Court Docket 2012-091238-04AA .....	2
FL Court Docket 16-2012-DR-001637-DVXX-MA .....	3
FL Court Docket 16-2012-DR-1637-AXXX .....	4
BLANK DICTA NOTE PAGES (4) .....	5
GA Incident Rpt. 12040400 .....	6
GA Court Docket CS09-1319-KA .....	7
GA Court Docket SPCS0901319DR-J3 .....	8
BLANK DICTA NOTE PAGES (4) .....	9
SC DHHS BG# 91234489, HH# 101421908 ...(Beneficiary No. 1780944058)...	10
SC Incident Rpt. 1-12-001936 .....	11
SC Incident Rpt. 201204016467 .....	12
SC Incident Rpt. 1-12-06163 .....	13
SC Court Docket 10-DR-08-511 .....	14
SC Ex-Parte Order 2010-DR-08-0511 .....	15
SC DSS Case No. 0001133899 ....(Determined Unfounded).....	16
SC Appeals Case 2014-002505 ....(Dismissed Rule 221b) .....	17
SC Incident Report 201106027110 .....	18

SC Court Docket 11-DR-10-346; CSED Case No. 0802129 .....	19
SC Court Docket 11-DR-10-347; CSED Case No. 0802129 .....	20
SC case no. 0802129 ...(Custodian no. 7725029323).....	21
SC Yr. 2011 Type DR; Location 10; 000346 .....	22
SC IRS/DOR; Case 8856475936 Location ID 45019; .....	23
SC DOR; Case 8856475936 Location ID 45015; .....	24
SC Court Docket 2012-DR-08-1855 ...(aka 20120801855).....	25
SC Court Docket SCDSS 0802129 ...(ref. no. 2012 080 1855)...(aka -00).....	26
SC Court Docket 0002939280 FIPS 45015 .....	27
SC Court Docket 2012DR0801987 .....	28
SC Court Docket 12-DR-08-1987 .....	29
SC Court Docket 2013GS0801067 ...(aka 13-GS-08-01067).....	30
SC Court Docket 2013A0810401566 .....	31
SC Court Docket 2013A0820200284 ...(first arrest).....	32
SC Court Docket 2013GS0801064 ...(see second arrest).....	33
SC Court Docket 2013A0820200282 ...(second arrest).....	34
SC Court Docket 2013A0820200281-285 .....	35
SC Court Docket AMW2013-05-01162 .....	36
SC Court Docket Agency Case 20131679 .....	37
SC Court Docket 2013A0820200284 .....	38



SC Affidavit Case No. 15-F-154 ...(executed in Kentucky).....	39
BLANK DICTA NOTE PAGES (8) .....	40
KY Dept. of Corrections CMP ...(PID No. 0260944).....	41
KY Court Docket 14-C-00551 .....	42
KY Court Docket 14-C-00552 .....	43
KY Court Docket 14-J-00170-001 .....	44
KY Court Docket 14-J-00171-001 .....	45
KY Petition 15-D-00008 .....	46
KY Court Docket 15-M-00224 .....	47
KY Court Docket 15-CI-00117 ...(aka 15-CI-117).....	48
KY Court Docket 17-D-00047-001 .....	49
KY Court Docket 17-XX-00005 .....	50
KY Court Docket 17-CI-00372 .....	51
KY Child Support IVD# 5457133 (Isabella) IVD# 5450074 (Audriana) .....	52
KY Child Support IVD# 0005457133 (Isabella) IVD# 0005450074 (Audriana) .	53
KY DCBS Case FS 253617827 .....	54
KY Kynect Case 110938665 .....	55
KY Court Docket IV-D 0005450069 .....	56
BLANK DICTA NOTE PAGES (8) .....	57
Department of Justice Memorandum of November 16, 2017 .....	58

Executive Order 13818 ..... 59

Treatise of Impeachment/s ..... 60

Scamming The Misunderstood Confidence Man ...(Gorgeous George)..... 61

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Journal ..... 62

Sanctions Imposed by Courts on Attorneys who Abuse Judicial Process ..... 63

.



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Black's Law Dictionary, Tenth Edition, pages of text referenced in this Brief

<i>Best interest of the child</i> (1876) .....	pg 191
<i>Best-interest-of-the-child-doctrine</i> (1954) .....	pg 191
<i>Breach of Loyalty</i> (16c) .....	pg 226
<i>Breach of Statutory Duty</i> (1844) .....	pg 226
<i>Confidence</i> (14c) .....	pg 361
<i>Confidence Game</i> (1856) .....	pg 361
<i>Confidence Trick</i> .....	pg 361
<i>Confidence Man</i> (1849) .....	pg 361
<i>Constitutional-Doubt Canon</i> .....	pg 377
<i>Constitutional-fact Doctrine</i> (1937) .....	pg 377
<i>Crimen Falsi</i> (17c) .....	pg 454
<i>Dereliction of Duty</i> .....	pg 537
<i>Derelict-official act</i> (1912) .....	pg 537
<i>Falsus in uno Doctrine</i> (1956) .....	pg 720
<i>Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine</i> (1979) .....	pg 784
<i>Fruits of a crime</i> (1854) .....	pg 785
<i>Agency Jurisdiction</i> .....	pg 980
<i>Legal Monopoly</i> (18c) .....	pg 1160
<i>Monopoly</i> (16c) .....	pg 1160
<i>Bilateral Monopoly</i> (1906) .....	pg 1160
<i>Monopoly Leveraging</i> (1982) .....	pg 1160
<i>Monopoly Power</i> (1954) .....	pg 1160
<i>Parental-preference doctrine</i> (1974) .....	pg 1298

## UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

### Amendment I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

### Amendment VII

In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

### Amendment IX

The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

### Amendment XI [referenced in context to Fiduciary Duty]

The Eleventh Amendment does not protect state officials from claims for prospective relief when it is alleged that state officials acted in violation of federal law, nor does it usurp the *Fourteenth Amendment Rights* retained by the *People*. *Tolman v. Finneran*, 171 F. Supp. 2d 31 (D. Mass. 2001)

### Amendment XIV

The 14th Amendment to the Constitution was ratified on July 9, 1868, and granted citizenship to all persons born or naturalized in the United States, which included former slaves recently freed. In addition, it forbids states from denying any person "life, liberty or property, without due process of law" or to "deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

## UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL ARTICLES / CLAUSES

*Article four* (4), *Section four* (4)

*Article one* (1), *Section nine* (9), *Clause eight* (8)

# *Declaration of Independence*

IN CONGRESS, July 4, 1776.

## **The unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America,**

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.— ¶ That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,— ¶ That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness. Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.— ¶ Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.

He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.

He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and

pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.

He has refused to pass other Laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of Representation in the Legislature, a right inestimable to them and formidable to tyrants only.

He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their public Records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures.

He has dissolved Representative Houses repeatedly, for opposing with manly firmness his invasions on the rights of the people.

He has refused for a long time, after such dissolutions, to cause others to be elected; whereby the Legislative powers, incapable of Annihilation, have returned to the People at large for their exercise; the State remaining in the mean time exposed to all the dangers of invasion from without, and convulsions within.

He has endeavored to prevent the population of these States; for that purpose obstructing the Laws for Naturalization of Foreigners; refusing to pass others to encourage their migrations hither, and raising the conditions of new Appropriations of Lands.

He has obstructed the Administration of Justice, by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary powers.

He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.

He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harrass our people, and eat out their substance.

He has kept among us, in times of peace, Standing Armies without the Consent of our legislatures.

He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.

He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:

For Quartering large bodies of armed troops among us: For protecting them, by a mock Trial, from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States:

For cutting off our Trade with all parts of the world: For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent: For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:

For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:

For taking away our Charters, abolishing our most valuable Laws, and altering fundamentally the Forms of our Governments:

For suspending our own Legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.

He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us. He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and destroyed the lives of our people.

He is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to compleat the works of death, desolation and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of Cruelty & perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy of the Head of a civilized nation.

He has constrained our fellow Citizens taken Captive on the high Seas to bear Arms against their Country, to become the executioners of

their friends and Brethren, or to fall themselves by their Hands.

He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.

In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people. Nor have We been wanting in attentions to our British brethren. We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which, would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and of consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity, which denounces our Separation, and hold them, as we hold the rest of mankind, Enemies in War, in Peace Friends.

We, therefore, the Representatives of the united States of America, in General Congress, Assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the Name, and by Authority of the good People of these Colonies, solemnly publish and declare, That these United Colonies are, and of Right ought to be Free and Independent States; that they are Absolved from all Allegiance to the British Crown, and that all political connection between them and the State of Great Britain, is and ought to be totally dissolved; and that as Free and Independent States, they have full Power to levy War, conclude Peace, contract Alliances, establish Commerce, and to do all other Acts and Things which Independent States may of right do. And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honor.

[The 56 signatures on the Declaration were arranged in six columns:]

[Column 1]

**Georgia:** Button Gwinnett Lyman Hall George Walton



[Column 2]

**North Carolina:** William Hooper Joseph Hewes John Penn

**South Carolina:** Edward Rutledge Thomas Heyward, Jr. Thomas Lynch, Jr.  
Arthur Middleton

[Column 3]

**Massachusetts:** John Hancock Maryland: Samuel Chase William Paca Thomas  
Stone Charles Carroll of Carrollton

**Virginia:** George Wythe Richard Henry Lee Thomas Jefferson Benjamin Harrison  
Thomas Nelson, Jr. Francis Lightfoot Lee Carter Braxton

[Column 4]

**Pennsylvania:** Robert Morris Benjamin Rush Benjamin Franklin John Morton  
George Clymer James Smith George Taylor James Wilson George Ross

**Delaware:** Caesar Rodney George Read Thomas McKean

[Column 5]

**New York:** William Floyd Philip Livingston Francis Lewis Lewis Morris

**New Jersey:** Richard Stockton John Witherspoon Francis Hopkinson John Hart  
Abraham Clark

[Column 6]

**New Hampshire:** Josiah Bartlett William Whipple

**Massachusetts:** Samuel Adams John Adams Robert Treat Paine Elbridge Gerry

**Rhode Island:** Stephen Hopkins William Ellery

**Connecticut:** Roger Sherman Samuel Huntington William Williams Oliver  
Wolcott

**New Hampshire:** Matthew Thornton



## **ACTS OF CONGRESS**

Fair Debt Collections Act  
Freedom of Information Act  
Bradley Amendment  
Violence Against Women Act  
Title IV of the Social Security Act

## **EXECUTIVE ORDERS**

13818

## **STATE CONGRESSIONAL ACTS**

Best Interest Doctrine

## **CASES STUDIED (CITED IN VARIOUS WORKS)**

*Hardwick V. Vreeken, (2017)*

*Guaranty Trust Co. v. York (1945)*

*BRADY v. MARYLAND 373 U.S. 83 (1963)*

*U.S. V American Telephone & Telegraph, (D.D.C. 1982)*

*United States v. Microsoft Corporation 253 F. 3d 34 (D.C. Cir 2001)*

*Tolman v. Finneran, 171 F. Supp. 2d 31 (D. Mass. 2001)*

*Wyatt v. Cole 504 U.S. 158 (1992)*

*Ulrich v. Butler No. 09-7660*

*Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)*

*Owen v. City of Independence, [445 U.S.](#) 622 (1980)*

*Mitchum v. Foster, 407 US 225 - Supreme Court (1972)*

*Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123, 155-56, 52 L. Ed. 714, 28 S. Ct. 441 (1908)*

*Warnock v. Pecos County, 88 F.3d 341 (5th Cir. 07/08/1996)*

*Edelman v. Jordan, 415 U.S. 651, 664, 39 L. Ed. 2d 662, 94 S. Ct. 1347 (1974)*

*Davis v. Wechsler, 263 US 22, 24 (1923)*

*Brennan v. Stewart*, 834 F.2d 1248, 1252 (5th Cir. 1988)  
*Wilkinson v. Torres*, 610 F.3d 546, 554 (9th Cir. 2010)  
*Jeffers v. Gomez*, 267 F.3d 895, 905-06 (9th Cir.2001)  
*Porter v. Osborne*, 546 F.3d 1131, 1137 (9th Cir.2008)  
*Jenkins v. McKeithern* 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969)  
*Haines v. Kerner*, 92 S. Ct. 747 (1969)  
*Picking v. Pennsylvania R. Co.* 151 F.2d 240  
*Sherar v. Cullen*, 481 F 945  
*Miller vs. U.S.* , 230 F. 486,489  
*Sperry v. State of Florida ex re. The Florida Bar*, (1963)  
*Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41 (1957)  
*Gould v. Artisoft, Inc.*,1 F.3d 544, 548 (7th Cir. 1993)  
*Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 US 436, 491  
*Sims V. Aherns*, 271 s.w. 720 (1925)  
*Heckler v. Community Health Services of Crawford Cty., Inc.*, 467 US 51 -  
Supreme Court (1984)  
*Sampson v. Murray* 415 U.S. 61, 88 (1974)  
*Meyer v. Nebraska* 262 U.S. 390, 399, 401 (1923)  
*Howlett v. Rose*, 496 U.S. 356 (1990)  
*Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 627 N.W.2d 725, 729 (2001)  
*ServiceMaster of St. Cloud v. GAB Business Servs., Inc.*, 544 N.W.2d 302, 306 (1996)  
*Zirinsky v. Sheehan*, 413 F.2d 481 (8th Cir. 1969)  
*Stuempges v. Parke, Davis & Co.*, 297 N.W.2d 252, 255 (Minn. 1980)  
*Stepnes v. Ritschel*, 663 F.3d 952, 963 (8th Cir. 2011)  
*Cavanaugh v. Burlington N. R.R. Co.*, 941 F.Supp. 872, 879 (D. Minn. 1996)



## **THE FACT OF THIS CASE AS STATED BY MY MOM**

- 1) Once upon a time ago on the special day of September 18, 2008, in a town that is still know today as Savannah, Georgia in the very same hospital that once upon a time ago on November 19, 1986, I was born. A little girl was born to me that I named Mckenzie Summer Griffin. It was this day that I will never forget, it was on this day, that I experienced what true love truly was.
- 2) The very first time I held my first born child in my arms. There is not one person in that room who could ever forget what took place during and after the birth of my daughter. After I was induced, which made labor come whether she or I was ready or not. I was so scared. It was a fear that I had never experienced in my entire life. (People that know me will tell you, I have no fear) I screamed at Mckenzie's father to go get my mom! He did go out and get my mom but he also brought his mother too. After he brought in everybody that made me even more scared. Especially since I barely even knew his mom.
- 3) My mom was on the left of me and Chad and Meredith Griffin were on the right. I then screamed to my doctor that was delivering Mckenzie to please give me a c-section because I didn't think I was going to be able to push her out. He said no, and after a moment of realizing that I actually had to do this and there was no way of turning back now because I had made my choices...
- 4) I uttered out another scream. This time this scream was different. It did not come from me but from the holy spirit of YAH. I said, "PRAY, PRAY, PRAY for Mckenzie!" Everybody in the room just seemed to freeze. The nurses, the doctor, Mckenzie's father, Mckenzie's maternal and paternal grandmother. It was like time stopped ticking and everybody bowed their heads to await the coming of this beautiful innocent child into this world. After I uttered these words, my mother spoke up. Except her words seemed different too, they were

because the Holy Spirit was talking through her. The Holy Spirit anointed Mckenzie through my mother at that very moment. A very long prayer was said about Mckenzie and about her life. Even though I do believe that YAH knows us and the reason for our life before we are ever formed in our mother's womb. As soon as my mother stopped talking. I had the power of YAH inside of me and I just pushed Mckenzie right out without any fear because I put all my trust in my heavenly father, and knew that he would protect us both.

- 5) It wasn't more than a minute after the Holy Spirit spoke through my mother that my beautiful baby girl had entered into this world. The nurses took her immediately to clean her up and swaddled her and then she was handed to me and I held her in my arms, I was overwhelmed with emotions. The strongest of all these emotions was love, heartbreak, and tears. Tears, tears, and more tears. I could not stop crying my eyes out. Nobody in the room got it. Nobody understood why I couldn't stop crying.
- 6) Looking into my daughter's eyes for the first time and knowing that I wouldn't be able to protect her the way I felt in my heart at that moment that I should be able to, broke my heart. My heart was broken from the moment I first looked into my daughters eyes and NOW I know why my heavenly father made me feel that way. He was preparing me for the long journey ahead.
- 7) I experienced two things that day. First, I realized how it felt to love something more than yourself and the second, realizing what my broken heart would feel like in the days ahead. My heavenly father was preparing me to know how to act for the days ahead when I wouldn't be able to protect her anymore. Oh and that day came way to fast and too early for me. I still wasn't completely prepared. How can you prepare your heart for something like this?
- 8) Mckenzie was only 18 months old when this horror story began and I'm asking all of you to end it once and for all. It all occurred in less than ONE hour

in March of 2010. When I was told by a family court judge in Berkeley County, South Carolina that I had only 24 hours to leave my baby with her paternal grandmother, and my journey begins here...

9) I have chosen the way of truth; I have set my heart on your laws, Psalm 119:30... Even though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I will fear no evil, for you are with me; your rod and your staff, they comfort me. Psalm 23:4

10) I will ask you one question. If you couldn't protect your offspring from abuse. What would you do? I have never stopped fighting the good fight in faith and will not stop now. I'm asking you to fight with me. We have to fight for our prosperity. Your children's children. If we don't end this now, they will suffer from our mistakes. They did not ask for this and they don't know what they are being born into when they are born. They listen for their mother's heartbeat and they speak their very first words to their heavenly father, "Abba." Which means Father.

**CLIENT AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH**

I Neely Petrie-Blanchard, hereby swears under oath, statements made in this documentation are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, to be the truth and understand the penalties for knowingly making false statements could include fines and/or imprisonment.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Neely Petrie-Blanchard

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

State of \_\_\_\_\_, County of \_\_\_\_\_

Sworn to or affirmed and signed before me on this date: \_\_\_\_\_

By: (Notary Public) \_\_\_\_\_

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

## **THE FACT OF THIS CASE AS REFLECTED IN THE COURT RECORDS**

### **THE BEGINNING IN GEORGIA**

*[Necessitas vincit legem; legum vincula irridet]*

- 11) Client Neely Petrie-Blanchard delivered the children in question in Savannah Georgia by Dr. Michael Jackson due to the established relationship with Dr. Jackson. However; she was still a resident of Florida at that time. Neely was traveling between Florida, Georgia, and Kentucky as she was making every effort to begin her life with her family.
- 12) This matter begins at the behest of her would be mother-in-law, Meredith A. Griffin of Ladson South Carolina, and her desire to obtain a level of control which she never had standing under the Law. This assertion can be evidenced by Meredith's actions to obtain DNA to confirm parentage, and potentially alter the Child's birth certificate to reflect her agenda. Let the hospital records from Georgia reflect the actual birth date, and relative information. This current birth certificate out of South Carolina is based on fraud.
- 13) The continuing, and extensive, abuses of process are also just as clear if only due to the enormity of case file numbers spanning a period of only 6 years. (see Appendix of case listings of this report)

### **THE FIRST FRAUD IN SOUTH CAROLINA**

*[Necessitas vincit legem; legum vincula irridet]*

- 14) Client Neely Petrie-Blanchard traveled to South Carolina from Georgia in good faith to have Thanksgiving holiday with the paternal side of the child's family in effort to just be a good mom.

### **THE FIRST FRAUD IN FLORIDA**

*[Necessitas vincit legem; legum vincula irridet]*

15) The Family Court failed to protect the child in question (M.S.G.) upon the first finding of abuse. This lack of action casts a shadow of “Reasonable Doubt” on the case dating back to this event. It is for this reason this office has assumed care and control over this matter in its totality.

### **THE FIRST FRAUD IN KENTUCKY**

*[Necessitas vincit legem; legum vincula irridet]*

16) Client Neely Petrie-Blanchard traveled to South Carolina November of 2009, as reported by Griffin Meredith, located at: 242 Tall Pines Road, South Carolina, 29461. (see Tab \* of the Appendix for dispatch report from Sheriff’s Office) This would turn out to be the event which would ultimately lead to the unlawful seizure of Neely’s Child. (M.S.G. DOB 9-18-2008) *[Deceptis, non decipientibus, jura subveniunt]*

17) Upon further scrutiny / review of the Affidavit dated March 15, 2010 taken by counsel (Laree A. Hensley, SC Bar #16867), and used to establish case number 10-DR-08-511 located In the Family Court of the Ninth Judicial Circuit, State of South Carolina, County of Berkeley, 300 California Dr #B, Moncks Corner, South Carolina, 29461. This document was not only fraught with falsehoods against my client, but was filed outside of the established procedures set forth by Congress to govern the Due Process of Law.

- a. Per the Family Court Cover Sheet dated March 12, 2010.
- b. Note the file stamp dates are March 15, 2010. (3 days later) on all attached documents.
- c. My client went to court on March 18-24, 2010, outside of the established rules of reasonable notice set forth by Congress with the



clear intention to illegally abduct the minor child, and sever the mother / child relationship.

d. A closer review of the actions, inconsistency in handwriting, and speed of which the events happened:

18) It is the opinion of this office the action/s shown above, along with the resulting soapbox of events found in the Appendix of this report, were accomplished by Fraud upon the Court. *18 U.S.C. § 1001, § 1031, § 1038 (a) Criminal Violation (1), (b) Civil Action.*

19) Due to the events being conceived by counsel by and through her Inherited Emolument, we can also see the clarity of *28 U.S.C. § 1927*, as it applies to this practice. [see Sanctions Imposed by Courts Journal Appendix Tab \*]

20) For further clarity on the thought processes conceiving this case, I refer your attention to an email dated July 19, 2011, to which Meredith A. Griffin explains in detail to the recipient, her son's attorney Demal Ignatius Mattson Jr., her willful actions to interfere in this relationship of which Ms. Griffin has no standing in law.

21) In further reference to the afore mentioned fraud, take special notice of the comparison of the inconsistencies of how long my client had actually been in South Carolina.

a. Counsel states in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, my client **had been** residing in South Carolina for more than three months.

b. The attached email dated May 4, 2010, Ms Meredith admits the contrary.

c. Why did counsel **not** inform the court of this fact which would preclude Kentucky from having Standing?

*i. 29 U.S. Code § 1109*

- ii. 28 U.S. Code § 1927
- iii. 15 U.S. Code § 16(b)-(h)
- iv. 54 U.S. Code § 101115
- v. 18 U.S. Code § 643
- vi. 18 U.S. Code sec. 729 – 732 (May 24, 1938, ch. 266, 52 Stat. 438)
- vii. 31 U.S. Code §§ 3729–3733
- viii. 28 U.S. Code § 1495
- ix. 28 U.S. Code § 4101
- x. 28 U.S. Code § 2680
- xi. 18 U.S. Code § 1589
- xii. 34 U.S. Code § 10272
- xiii. 18 U.S. Code § 551
- xiv. 18 U.S. Code § 2071
- xv. 27 CFR 447.62

22) To the obvious perjured testimony by attorney Laree Hensley, see the attached drug tests which establish the fact my client never took drugs in spite of the allegation/s. [*Baratriam committit qui propter pecuniam justitiam baractat, Nemo debet ex alieno damno lucrari*]



## LOSS OF CONSTITUTIONAL INTEGRITY

- 1) When in terms of “The Court”, or any other Branch of our Government is concerned, the first and foremost questions when assigning an Inherited Emolument that need to be answered correctly are:
  - What do the words “Your Honor” mean to you?
  - Do they simply imply a “Job Title”?
  - Or do they mean “You’re Honorable”?
  
- 2) The Law as this governing body writes it, is repetitively “not” being practiced with the “Good Faith Intentions” mandated when writing it. These overly burdensome practices, rules, and processes used by the current “Practicing Entity” known as the “BAR” have, by definition, created serious “Integrity Issues” in violation of the *Seventh* and *Ninth Amendments* as written in the Constitution of The United States. The attached orders represent clear “Integrity Issues” when interpreting “What the Constitutions says”. Two of the tools this entity repetitively uses to usurp the “Plain Language” of the Constitution and the Law are:
  - The *Rooker-Feldman Doctrine*
  - The *Best Interest Doctrine*
    - i. Neither of which can be defined / explained in plain language therefore they are clearly “Repugnant” to the Law
      1. A loss of a “Thing” is a loss to its owner
  
- 3) The Seventh Amendment says: *In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.*

- 4) The Ninth Amendment says: *The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.*
- 5) Knowing these two (2) Amendments read as they do; can someone explain how the **orders** attached to this Report do **NOT** negatively affect the Integrity of The Court, and The Constitution of The United States as it is written?
- Explain how they represent anything more than an entity filled with contempt, arrogance, and negative biases against the Constitution and / or our Republican form of Government?
  - Explain how this body is supposed to maintain its relationship of “Duty and Trust” with the “People” of “*The United States of America*”; when the clarity of the misuse of the inherited emoluments paint such an “Un-just” picture?
  - Explain how this is nothing more than a further assessment of my original Practitioners Report attached to the Complaint Brief in this case in which I clearly outlined the dangers of Monopolies, mono or bilateral, and how those monopolies encroach on the Separation of Powers?
- 6) To quote Jeff Session’s address to Congress as he read his new directive dated November 16, 2017.

***The Law says what it says, and it doesn’t say, what it doesn’t say.***

It is clear that the Attorney General recognized those “Guidance Documents” for what they really are; “Bills of Attainder” which are forbidden by our Constitution, and negatively affect this Governing Body’s ability to do its job. A directive I fully support; just for the record.

- I know this court received a copy of the letter as I personally provided it as an attachment citing its proper authority in Pleadings.

## PERSONAL BIAS AS AN INTEGRITY ISSUE

7) By citation of the orders attached to this Report, it has become very clear these lower court's intention's are to continue to usurp its power granted by this Branch, and my authority in Standing as Lead Counsel in this case. The effort to attempt to quash this case in order to continue to maintain their Criminal Enterprising Swamp within these people seem to inhabit; However, they have yet to see the reality in that there is no swamp left. We drained it the moment Donald J. Trump was sworn into Office, and reclaimed our rights guaranteed to us under our Founding Documents. *Maledicta expositio quae corrumpit textum*

### **THE “NEGATIVE NEXUS”**

*Negatio destruit negationem, et ambae faciunt affirmationem  
Negatio duplex est affirmatio*

*Article IV (4), Section IV (4), 29 U.S. Code § 1109*

*Article I (1), Section IX (9), Clause VIII (8), 28 U.S. Code § 1927*

---

The following is what has transpired in the lower Family Court in this case through these “Defective Practices” which establish this “Negative Nexus”.

[A significant portion of evidence and testimony corrupted / tainted, and not based in truth or on fact/s]

[Negative Nexus]  $[(-1 \times -1) = 1]$

What the parties see = **What the court sees**

---

*[Affectus punitur licet non sequatur effectus]  
[Qui destruit medium destruit finem, Lex punit mendaciam]*

[Negative Nexus]  $[(-1 \times 1) = -1]$

What the parties see = **What the court sees**

---

*Non est arctius vinculum inter homines quam jusjurandum*

**Black's Law Dictionary, Tenth Edition, pages of text referenced**

Best interest of the child (1876) ..... pg 191

Best-interest-of-the-child-doctrine (1954) ..... pg 191

Breach of Loyalty (16c) ..... pg 226

Breach of Statutory Duty (1844) ..... pg 226

Confidence (14c) ..... pg 361

Confidence Game (1856) ..... pg 361

Confidence Trick ..... pg 361

Confidence Man (1849) ..... pg 361

Constitutional-Doubt Canon ..... pg 377

Constitutional-fact Doctrine (1937) ..... pg 377

Crimen Falsi (17c) ..... pg 454

Dereliction of Duty ..... pg 537

Derelict-official act (1912) ..... pg 537

Falsus in uno Doctrine (1956) ..... pg 720

Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine (1979) ..... pg 784

Fruits of a crime (1854) ..... pg 785

Agency Jurisdiction ..... pg 980

Legal Monopoly (18c) ..... pg 1160

Monopoly (16c) ..... pg 1160

Bilateral Monopoly (1906) ..... pg 1160

Monopoly Leveraging (1982) ..... pg 1160

Monopoly Power (1954) ..... pg 1160

Parental-preference doctrine (1974) ..... pg 1298

## CONCLUSIONS

23) As to the finding of facts, and conclusions of Law in this case are evidently clear; this case should be considered the “Poster Child Case” of what “NOT” to do in the future with respect to “Malicious Prosecution” and “The Abuse of Process” precedent as defined here:

### *A Mother’s Love*

When in context of what the Law recognizes about the Equal Protections of this founding principle of our country; [*Lex non novit patrem, nec matrem: solam veritatem*] Therefore with respect to this matter; [*Legibus sumptis desinentibus, lege naturae utendum est*], let no man stand in conflict against these *Core Concepts*, or *Maxims of Law*.

As we traverse our way through our place in history we will be remembered as the generation who stood side by side, in spite of our differences, and continued our prosperity even as those who would stand against us attacked from within our highest levels of Government. We united in common cause as those who came before us once we had realized the history we had struggled to Declare our Independence from; had once again come to our shores.

We needed not look for the answers any farther than this *Mother’s Love* based on the established *findings of facts*, and *Conclusions of Law*.

*Nec curia deficeret in justitia exhibenda*



## REQUESTED INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

49) Do to the enormity, and extensiveness, of this case, the following injunctive relief is justified and appropriate for my client.

<u>Breach</u>	<u>Penalty</u>
1. Violation/s of Oath of Office	(\$250,000.00)
2. Slavery (Forced Compliance to contracts not held)	(\$250,000.00)
3. Denied Proper Warrant/s	(\$250,000.00)
4. Denied Right of Reasonable Defense Argument/s	(\$250,000.00)
5. Defense Evidence (Records)	(\$250,000.00)
6. Denied Provisions in the Idaho Constitution	(\$250,000.00)
7. Conspiracy	(\$10,000.00)
8. Extortion	(\$5,000.00)
9. Fraud	(\$10,000.00)
10. Falsification of Documents	(\$10,000.00)
11. Perjury	(\$2,000.00)
12. Subordination of Perjury	(\$2,000.00)
13. Grand Theft	<u>(\$250,000.00)</u>

**Total Damages incurred by my client for Remittance:** (\$1,789,000.00)

Respectfully Submitted

---

Christopher E. Hallett, esq (CEO)  
*E~Clause*® LLC, Loss Prevention Practice  
(Lic. No. 443079 / Bar No. 03202154)  
(Florida Notary No. GG154748)  
16062 South West 34 Court Road  
Ocala, Florida, 34473  
Email: [eclausellc@gmail.com](mailto:eclausellc@gmail.com)





**PRACTITIONER AFFIDAVIT**  
**(Christopher E. Hallett Lic. No. 443079)**  
**(Bar No. 03202154, Florida Notary No. GG154748)**

Practitioner Christopher E. Hallett hereby swears under oath, statements made in this documentation are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, to be the truth and understand the penalties for knowingly making false statements could include fines and/or imprisonment.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher E. Hallett, esq

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_











## ALL KNOWN INTERESTED PARTIES

I, Christopher E Hallett, certify service of this document to all via Electronic Mail. As we gather email addresses, this office will provide service at that time.

[WarrenCounty.Attorney@ky.gov](mailto:WarrenCounty.Attorney@ky.gov) (Amy Hale Milliken)

[billcunningham@kycourts.net](mailto:billcunningham@kycourts.net) (Justice Bill Cunningham)

[courtneydelaney@kycourts.net](mailto:courtneydelaney@kycourts.net) (Courtney Delaney)

[jstevens@jsstevenslaw.com](mailto:jstevens@jsstevenslaw.com) (Jason S. Stevens)

[Carrie@carriewarner.com](mailto:Carrie@carriewarner.com) (Carrie Warner)

[emily@ayersfamilylaw.com](mailto:emily@ayersfamilylaw.com) (Emily Ayers)

[tyleryg@kycourts.net](mailto:tyleryg@kycourts.net) (Honorable Tyler Gill)

[sherrywilkins@kycourts.net](mailto:sherrywilkins@kycourts.net) (Clerk of Courts Sherry Wilkins)

[logancounty@bellsouth.net](mailto:logancounty@bellsouth.net) (Honorable Logan B. Chick)

[jdjoines@joineslaw.com](mailto:jdjoines@joineslaw.com) (Jay D. Joines)

[j\\_wheeler@bellsouth.net](mailto:j_wheeler@bellsouth.net) [stewart\\_wheeler@yahoo.com](mailto:stewart_wheeler@yahoo.com) (Stuart Wheeler)

[lanna@lannakilgore.com](mailto:lanna@lannakilgore.com) (Lanna Kilgore)

[n\\_kerr12@hotmail.com](mailto:n_kerr12@hotmail.com) [neil.kerrlawoffice@me.com](mailto:neil.kerrlawoffice@me.com) (County Attorney Neil Kerr)

[jhendricks@bblaw.org](mailto:jhendricks@bblaw.org) (Joe Hendricks, Jr)

[amber.ledbetter@ky.gov](mailto:amber.ledbetter@ky.gov) (Amber Ledbetter)

[arabia.thomas@jax.ufl.edu](mailto:arabia.thomas@jax.ufl.edu) (Arabia Davis)

[jmichelli@pa.gov](mailto:jmichelli@pa.gov) (John Michelli)

[lahensley@knology.net](mailto:lahensley@knology.net) and [lahensley@aol.com](mailto:lahensley@aol.com) (Laree Ann Hensley)

[williamsa@scsolicitor9.org](mailto:williamsa@scsolicitor9.org) (Ann Williams)

[wcreechj@sccourts.org](mailto:wcreechj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Wayne M. Creech)

[wilsons@scsolicitor9.org](mailto:wilsons@scsolicitor9.org) (Scarlett A. Wilson)

[alfarob@scsolicitor9.org](mailto:alfarob@scsolicitor9.org) (Bryan Alfaro)

[lizzlaw@aol.com](mailto:lizzlaw@aol.com) (Christopher D. Lizzi)

[badgerlaw@hotmail.com](mailto:badgerlaw@hotmail.com) (Allen D Badger)  
[ashleyameikalaw@me.com](mailto:ashleyameikalaw@me.com) (Ashley Ameika)  
[smcdonald@sccourts.org](mailto:smcdonald@sccourts.org) (Honorable Stephanie P. McDonald)  
[kharringtonj@sccourts.org](mailto:kharringtonj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Kristi Lea Harrington)  
[djeffersonj@sccourts.org](mailto:djeffersonj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson)  
[ryoungj@sccourts.org](mailto:ryoungj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Roger M. Young, Sr.)  
[mdennisj@sccourts.org](mailto:mdennisj@sccourts.org) (Honorable R. Markley Dennis, Jr.)  
[jlandisj@sccourts.org](mailto:jlandisj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Jack A. Landis)  
[mholtj@sccourts.org](mailto:mholtj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Michael S. Holt)  
[nmclinj@sccourts.org](mailto:nmclinj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Nancy C. McLin)  
[jlarryduffy@hotmail.com](mailto:jlarryduffy@hotmail.com) (Honorable John L. Duffy, Jr.)  
[johnduffylaw@gmail.com](mailto:johnduffylaw@gmail.com) (Honorable John L. Duffy, III)  
[abigailduffy@gmail.com](mailto:abigailduffy@gmail.com) (Abigail Duffy)  
[arichterj@sccourts.org](mailto:arichterj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Alice Anne Richter)  
[jcatej@sccourts.org](mailto:jcatej@sccourts.org) (Honorable Jocelyn B. Cate)  
[wcreechj@sccourts.org](mailto:wcreechj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Wayne M. Creech)  
[hwoods@j@sccourts.org](mailto:hwoods@j@sccourts.org) (Honorable Henry T. Woods)  
[akinlawj@sccourts.org](mailto:akinlawj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr.)  
[gjenkinsonj@sccourts.org](mailto:gjenkinsonj@sccourts.org) (Honorable Gordon B. Jenkinson)  
[dmattson52@aol.com](mailto:dmattson52@aol.com) (Demal Mattson)  
[seaton3@homesc.com](mailto:seaton3@homesc.com) (Grover Seaton)  
[brady@vannoymurphy.com](mailto:brady@vannoymurphy.com) (Brady Vannoy)  
[Southernkylawyer@gmail.com](mailto:Southernkylawyer@gmail.com) (Corey J. Morgan)











